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149. Under the existing contracts, what percentage of cases is being awarded annually to the different vendors in the jurisdictions?

**RESPONSE:** The method of assigning cases under the current contract is not relevant.  The current RFP describes how cases will be assigned in each jurisdiction.

150. Are the percentages allocated to the vendors in the jurisdiction in the previous question related to any particular overall ranking of the vendors? If so, what specific factors were considered to determine those rankings and/or overall percentages?

**RESPONSE:** Please see Response to Question 149.

151. Form F-2 (under the heading, “Requested Caseload”) requires each bidder to specify the number of cases requested for each year of a potential contract. Attachment S on the other hand, requires projections of caseloads for each jurisdiction that is based on a ratio that is not to exceed 100 active cases per lawyer at any point in time (see answer to question #38). Do you agree that the total Requested Caseload numbers in F-2 will not necessarily be equal to the total Projected Caseload numbers (at any particular point-in-time) in Attachment S? If not, would you explain?

**RESPONSE:** Yes.

152. For the purpose of evaluating proposals pursuant to the current RFP, what special value or weight, if any, will be given to a bidder’s status as a MBE when compared to a bidder who is not an MBE?

**RESPONSE:** None.